

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,437	MORRIS ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher RoDee	1795	

All Participants:

Status of Application: AF

(1) Christopher RoDee. (3) _____.
 (2) Paul Kokulis. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

56, 66-68

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The recent proposed amendment would result in claims 56 and 66 being duplicates of each other. The Examiner contacted counsel and proposed an Examiner's amendment to cancel claim 66 and adjust the dependencies of claims 67 and 68 to claim 56. Counsel gave permission for this amendment.